
From: Pikachu Billionaire <presidentbillionaire@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2026 2:51 AM
To:
Subject: HNL City Council Sept 9 - Public Testimony by Pikachu Shelby Billionaire - All Agenda items

CAUTION: Email received from an EXTERNAL sender. Please confirm the content is safe prior to opening attachments or links.

Aloha Chair Waters, Vice Chair Tupola, Council members, and Honolulu City Council,

My name is Shelby “Pikachu” Billionaire, and I respectfully submit testimony on the September 9, 2026 Regular Meeting agenda.

I have reviewed the agenda, the current committee drafts and available City documents, and relevant State data. My positions below are based on fiscal responsibility, protection of public resources, housing affordability, infrastructure, environmental protection, transparency, public safety, and the interests of O’ahu residents.

I respectfully request that this written testimony be entered into the public record.

APPOINTMENTS / PUBLIC HEARING

RESOLUTION 26-172, CD1 – Reappointment of Na‘alehu Anthony to the Board of Water Supply

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support the reappointment because O’ahu’s water system is critical infrastructure and requires experienced oversight, long-term planning, conservation, and public accountability. The State has repeatedly recognized water as fundamental to housing, agriculture, economic development, and community resilience. Current State infrastructure records also show ongoing investment in Honolulu Board of Water Supply projects, including improvements associated with the loss of Halawa Shaft capacity. I therefore support retaining qualified Board leadership while urging continued protection of aquifers, transparency regarding water availability, and special attention to Wai‘anae and other communities facing development and water-demand pressures.

Source: [Honolulu September 9 Council Agenda](#); Hawai‘i water infrastructure records also identify Honolulu BWS projects addressing system resilience.

RESOLUTION 26-196 – Appointment of Cary Okimoto to the Civil Service Commission

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support confirmation of Cary Okimoto, subject to the Council's normal review of qualifications, conflicts, and ability to serve independently. Honolulu's Civil Service Commission performs an important function in maintaining a professional, merit-based municipal workforce. With the City managing a large workforce and significant public expenditures, independent personnel oversight is essential to public confidence, consistent employment practices, and accountability.

RESOLUTION 26-197 – Appointment of Christopher Ranken to the Planning Commission

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support the appointment, subject to confirmation that the nominee will approach land-use decisions independently and consistently with the General Plan, Development Plans, infrastructure capacity, environmental protection, and community input. This is particularly important because Honolulu is simultaneously confronting a severe housing shortage, transportation demands, agricultural-land pressures, and major TOD proposals. Hawai'i's most recent housing planning information identifies approximately 25,710 additional units needed in Honolulu County by the end of 2027.

FIRST READING

BILL 55 (2026) – Waikīkī / 401 Atkinson Drive Rezoning

POSITION: OPPOSE

I oppose reducing land from the AMX-3 High Density Apartment Mixed Use District and BMX-3 Community Business Mixed Use District to the A-2 Medium Density Apartment District without a compelling public-interest justification. Honolulu County alone is projected to need approximately 25,710 additional housing units by the end of 2027, and reducing development capacity at an urban location works against the City's housing objectives unless the applicant demonstrates that the proposed zoning will produce equal or greater housing, affordability, or public benefits. The Council should not voluntarily reduce residential capacity in a housing-constrained city without quantifiable justification.

Source:

BILL 56 (2026) – Corporation Counsel

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support Bill 56 because the measure establishes a clearer division between executive legal enforcement and Council oversight while preserving an important exception for enforcement of Honolulu's restrictions on illegal bed-and-breakfast homes and transient vacation units. The actual bill provides that Corporation Counsel generally may not initiate actions against private persons without Council approval, while exempting enforcement actions involving ROH §21-5.70-3. That creates accountability while allowing the City to address illegal short-term rental activity without requiring a separate Council resolution for every enforcement case.

PUBLIC HEARING / SECOND READING

BILL 54 (2026) – Parking Near Hiking Trails

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH SAFEGUARDS

I support Bill 54 because residents should not have driveways and emergency access blocked by vehicles parked near hiking-trail entrances. The bill allows the DTS Director, upon request of an adjacent property owner or resident, to mark certain curbs within one-quarter mile of public hiking-trail access with visible warnings. Importantly, the legislation does not create a blanket prohibition throughout an entire quarter-mile area; it provides a notice mechanism around driveways and related locations. I support implementation provided the City uses consistent signage, publishes the locations, and gives residents clear notice.

BILL 52 (2026) – Peddling / Streets, Sidewalks, Malls and Public Places

POSITION: OPPOSE AS WRITTEN / REQUEST AMENDMENT

I oppose increasing the penalties for peddling from \$100/\$250/\$500 levels to \$500/\$750/\$1,000 and potential imprisonment of up to 30 days without stronger evidence that existing enforcement tools are inadequate. The bill's own language says its purpose is to discourage unlawful peddling and preserve pedestrian access, but a five-fold increase in the first-offense fine and substantial increases for repeat offenses can disproportionately affect low-income people and small vendors. I support keeping sidewalks accessible and enforcing legitimate safety requirements, but I ask the Council to use graduated civil penalties, warnings, education, and enforcement against obstruction rather than simply increasing financial punishment.

ZONING & PLANNING

BILL 37 (2026) – 14.837 Acres in Kapolei/Honouliuli

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH CONDITIONS

I support the reclassification of approximately 14.837 acres from Agricultural to Urban District only because this proposal is tied to the East Kapolei TOD planning area. The Council must nevertheless verify that the land is not Important Agricultural Land, that adequate water and wastewater capacity exists, and that the conversion produces meaningful public benefits. O'ahu has approximately 15,205 acres designated as Important Agricultural Lands, and State data emphasizes the importance of preserving agricultural capacity. The City should therefore distinguish strategic TOD land from productive agricultural land rather than treating every Agricultural District parcel as disposable.

BILL 38 (2026) – East Kapolei Transit-Oriented Development Special District

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support expanding TOD around Skyline stations because housing, jobs, and transportation should be planned together rather than creating housing developments that increase automobile dependency. The bill would expand the TOD special district to three additional Skyline station areas and incorporate recommendations from the East Kapolei Neighborhood TOD Plan. The City should ensure that TOD

includes affordable housing, pedestrian safety, infrastructure capacity, and community facilities rather than merely maximizing private development potential.

BILL 39 (2026), CD1 – 1,374.4 Acres in Kapolei/Honouliuli

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH STRONG CONDITIONS

I support moving this major rezoning forward only with enforceable requirements for infrastructure, affordable housing, transportation, schools, water, wastewater, drainage, parks, and community facilities. Rezoning approximately 1,374.4 acres is a consequential land-use decision, and the Council should not approve density without demonstrating that public infrastructure will keep pace. Hawai'i's housing shortage makes new housing necessary, but development must not simply transfer infrastructure costs to existing residents.

BILL 42 (2026), CD1 – 8.188 Acres in Lā'ie

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH CONDITIONS

I support the State Land Use District amendment if the property is genuinely needed for the Lā'ie Corporation Yard and Refuse Convenience Center and the project has adequate infrastructure, environmental controls, and community protections. A public-service facility can be a legitimate reason to reclassify a limited area, but the City should document why this specific site is necessary and confirm that productive agricultural resources are not unnecessarily displaced.

BILL 43 (2026), CD1 – Pa'ala'a Kai, Waialua

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH CONDITIONS / PROTECT AGRICULTURAL LAND

I support residential zoning on the approximately 1.4-acre parcel only if the project is compatible with surrounding land uses, infrastructure, traffic, wastewater capacity, and agricultural operations. The Council should distinguish a small residential parcel from large-scale conversion of agricultural land. The State's agricultural baseline shows that O'ahu has already experienced losses of agricultural acreage to development, including a documented 360-acre loss associated with subdivision development along H-2.

THIRD READING – BUDGET

BILL 33 (2026), CD2 – Parks Maintenance and Recreation Services / Botanical Gardens Funds

POSITION: STRONG SUPPORT

I strongly support Bill 33. The measure establishes dedicated revolving funds for parks maintenance, recreation, botanical gardens, and urban forestry and provides for annual reporting to the Council. It also directs visitor-related revenues toward maintaining the public facilities affected by visitor activity. This is sound fiscal policy because it creates a clearer connection between revenue and the public assets

requiring maintenance. The bill specifically requires annual reporting of revenues, expenditures, and carryover balances, which improves transparency.

BILL 50 (2026) – Land Conservation Fund

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support Bill 50's repeal of the underutilized Land Conservation Fund because the City already has the broader Clean Water and Natural Lands Fund. The bill itself explains that the CWNL Fund can protect watershed lands, forests, beaches, agricultural lands, culturally important lands, habitats, and public access. Consolidating overlapping conservation mechanisms can reduce administrative fragmentation while preserving the underlying conservation purpose. However, I ask the Council to ensure that any remaining Land Conservation Fund balance is transferred only into an appropriate conservation-related account and remains dedicated to land protection.

HOUSING, HOMELESSNESS & PARKS

BILL 30 (2026), CD1 – Public Parks / Farmers' Markets

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support Bill 30 because it explicitly recognizes farmers' markets as an allowed activity in City parks while maintaining reasonable permitting standards for larger gatherings, commercial activity, expressive activity, and recreational uses. Supporting farmers' markets strengthens local food access and provides opportunities for local producers and small businesses. The bill also preserves permitting requirements for larger events and establishes standards intended to balance public access with park management.

BILL 31 (2026), CD2 – Cultural Sites in Public Parks

POSITION: STRONG SUPPORT

I strongly support Bill 31 because it creates an Important Cultural Resource Area program and requires stewardship planning involving the O'ahu Historic Preservation Commission, State Historic Preservation Division, cultural practitioners or lineal descendants where identifiable, and appropriate agencies. It also expressly protects traditional and customary rights under Article XII, Section 7 of the Hawai'i Constitution. This is the type of cultural-resource policy that can protect sites while creating an accountable stewardship framework rather than leaving sensitive places unmanaged.

BILL 32 (2026), CD2 – Parks, Recreation Facilities and Botanical Gardens

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support Bill 32 because it recognizes that park infrastructure is aging and maintenance costs are increasing while establishing a stronger policy that revenues generated from public recreational resources remain available for their maintenance and upkeep. The bill specifically identifies aging infrastructure, vandalism, and increased supply costs as reasons for action. I support the measure

provided that fees remain transparent, access remains equitable, and residents are not priced out of public recreation.

BILL 16 (2026), CD2 – Regulation of Dogs

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH DUE-PROCESS SAFEGUARDS

I support reasonable regulation of dogs where it protects residents, animals, parks, and public health. However, enforcement should be proportional, clearly written, consistently applied, and accompanied by due process. Honolulu should prioritize education, licensing, responsible ownership, animal welfare, and targeted enforcement against dangerous or repeated violations rather than creating unnecessary burdens for responsible pet owners.

BUDGET RESOLUTIONS

RESOLUTION 26-166 – Collective Bargaining Unit 15

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support honoring legitimate collective bargaining obligations for municipal employees, provided the cost items are consistent with the negotiated agreement and the City's adopted fiscal plan. A stable and fairly compensated public workforce is necessary for delivering essential City services. At the same time, I urge the administration to publish the projected multi-year fiscal impact so taxpayers can understand the full cost of the agreement.

RESOLUTION 26-170 – \$692,800 Community Services Local Match

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support the \$692,800 transfer because the funds are being used to meet local matching requirements for a grant program. Leveraging outside grant dollars with local matching funds can produce substantially greater public benefit than spending the money without outside participation. I ask the City to report the amount of outside funding leveraged, the projects funded, and measurable outcomes.

RESOLUTION 26-181, CD1 – \$338,000 FHWA Match

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support this \$338,000 transfer because it provides the City's required match for an FHWA candidate project in the 2025–2028 O'ahu Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Improvement Program. Federal matching funds can multiply local transportation investments, but the City should publish the specific project, expected federal contribution, schedule, and measurable benefit to O'ahu residents.

RESOLUTION 26-169, CD1 – \$15,000 Wastewater Treatment Plant Gift

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support accepting the \$15,000 gift for upgrades to the Kānehoa Conference Room at the Honouliuli Wastewater Treatment Plant. Wastewater infrastructure is essential public infrastructure, and accepting a clearly identified private gift for facility improvements can preserve taxpayer resources for core infrastructure needs. The City should maintain transparency regarding any donor restrictions and ensure that acceptance does not create preferential treatment.

ENERGY / ENVIRONMENT

RESOLUTION 26-189 – Recycled Ash / Enhanced Metal Recovery

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH ENVIRONMENTAL SAFEGUARDS

I support research and implementation of sustainable road construction practices that reduce waste and recover useful materials, but only where the materials are independently tested and demonstrated safe for workers, groundwater, soil, and nearby communities. Recycling should not become an excuse to transfer contamination risk into roads or fill. The City should require testing standards, environmental monitoring, public reporting, and lifecycle-cost analysis before large-scale deployment.

PARK GIFTS / NAMING

RESOLUTION 26-167, CD1 – Aina Moana Park Bench

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support accepting this \$3,848.07 privately funded bench and plaque because it adds a public amenity without requiring the City to bear the acquisition cost. The City should ensure that installation, maintenance, accessibility, and placement comply with Parks standards.

RESOLUTION 26-174 – Vailima Watson Kalihi Valley District Park Tennis Courts

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support the naming of the tennis courts because community recognition can preserve local history and honor individuals whose contributions are meaningful to residents. Naming decisions should remain consistent with transparent City criteria and should not create financial obligations beyond the park's normal maintenance requirements.

RESOLUTION 26-178 – Kapi'olani Regional Park Benches

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support accepting the approximately \$3,999.25 gift for two benches, ground preparation, and plaques because it improves a public park while using private funds. I encourage the City to continue using standardized accessibility and maintenance requirements for memorial amenities.

RESOLUTION 26-180 – Kailua Beach Park Bench

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support accepting the \$2,718.74 memorial bench and associated improvements at Kailua Beach Park because it provides a public amenity without a significant taxpayer expenditure. Placement should protect public access, ADA accessibility, shoreline resources, and normal park operations.

RESOLUTION 26-190 – Parks Community Benefit Projects

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support accepting the \$4,000 gift for community-benefit projects administered by Parks and Recreation. Small grants can address visible neighborhood needs when the Department uses transparent criteria and publicly reports how the money is spent.

TRANSPORTATION / PUBLIC SAFETY

RESOLUTION 26-187, CD1 – Ka‘elepulu Elementary Pedestrian Safety

POSITION: STRONG SUPPORT

I strongly support this resolution. A \$4,000 community gift directed toward safer crossings near an elementary school is precisely the kind of targeted investment the City should encourage. Honolulu has documented serious pedestrian-safety challenges, and neighborhood-level safety improvements should prioritize children and vulnerable road users rather than simply increasing vehicle throughput.

RESOLUTION 26-71 – Sale of West Loch Golf Course Parcels

POSITION: OPPOSE WITHOUT ADDITIONAL PUBLIC JUSTIFICATION

I oppose the sale unless the City demonstrates through a current independent appraisal and public analysis that the parcels are genuinely surplus, that the sale price represents fair market value, and that no better public purpose exists. The previous committee materials identify recommended prices of \$300,000 and \$385,000 for the two parcels. Public land is a finite asset; once sold, it is difficult or impossible for future generations to recover. The Council should therefore require a clear surplus-property analysis, appraisal, environmental review, and explanation of why retention for public use or future housing is not preferable.

INTERNATIONAL / EXECUTIVE GIFTS

RESOLUTION 26-182 – Hawai‘i Executive Conference

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH TRANSPARENCY

I support accepting the \$2,172.06 gift for conference registration and lodging, provided there are no donor conditions affecting official City decisions. The City should publicly disclose the conference purpose, attendees, and any resulting professional-development benefits.

RESOLUTION 26-191 – AAPI LEAD Summit

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH TRANSPARENCY

I support accepting the \$1,304.20 gift for travel and lodging to the AAPI LEAD Summit provided the gift complies with City ethics requirements and creates no obligation to the donor. Professional development can benefit City administration, but public disclosure should remain the standard.

RESOLUTION 26-184, CD1 – Cebu City Sister-City Relationship

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support renewing Honolulu's sister-city relationship with Cebu City. Hawai'i has deep Filipino cultural and family connections, and international municipal relationships can provide cultural, educational, economic, and governmental exchanges. Such programs should nevertheless be measured by concrete outcomes rather than ceremonial activity alone.

RESOLUTION 26-185, CD1 – Sister-City Policy Guidelines

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support strengthening the City's sister-city policy guidelines because formal relationships should have clear objectives, responsible City personnel, reporting requirements, and measurable public benefits. Honolulu should prioritize relationships that produce genuine educational, cultural, economic, environmental, or governmental value.

RED HILL / WATER SECURITY

RESOLUTION 26-193 – Expanded Red Hill Groundwater Testing

POSITION: STRONG SUPPORT

I strongly support this resolution. The Hawai'i Department of Health currently reports that 10 groundwater monitoring wells around the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility are regularly monitored for PFAS, and the State continues oversight of Navy sampling and remediation. The State's own records show ongoing disputes and regulatory review concerning groundwater sampling frequency and methodology. Given the history of petroleum contamination and PFAS concerns, increasing the frequency, geographic scope, and chemical scope of testing is a prudent public-health and public-trust measure. The City should insist on transparent publication of testing results and independent verification wherever appropriate.

RESOLUTION 26-198 – Foreclosure for Civil Fines and Property Taxes

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH DUE PROCESS

I support enforcement of valid civil fines and real-property taxes because government cannot maintain public services if legally imposed obligations are simply ignored. However, foreclosure is an

extraordinary remedy. The City should ensure that notice, opportunity to cure, payment plans where appropriate, ownership verification, and all constitutional and statutory due-process protections have been satisfied before proceeding.

ZONING & PLANNING

RESOLUTION 26-150 – Large Detached Dwellings

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH HOUSING SAFEGUARDS

I support the Council examining regulation of very large detached dwellings, particularly where excessive building bulk, parking demand, neighborhood impacts, and land consumption create community problems. However, regulations must not unintentionally suppress smaller homes, accessory dwelling units, multigenerational housing, or legitimate housing production. Honolulu needs more housing, not simply more restrictions. Any final ordinance should regulate neighborhood impacts while preserving pathways for reasonably sized homes.

RESOLUTION 26-168, CD1 – Nānākuli Commercial Building

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH CONDITIONS

I support Resolution 26-168 as amended because the proposed commercial project is on a 19,737-square-foot non-shoreline B-1 parcel and the amended resolution adds traffic-related safeguards. The CD1 requires implementation of traffic-impact avoidance and minimization measures and a construction-management plan before major construction permits are issued. Those conditions are particularly important in Nānākuli, where Farrington Highway is a critical transportation corridor. I support the project provided those conditions are enforceable and cultural, environmental, traffic, and community concerns are addressed.

RESOLUTION 26-188 – Kailua Rezoning / Former Kalaheo Elementary Site

POSITION: SUPPORT WITH DHHL AND COMMUNITY CONDITIONS

I support moving this proposal forward because the agenda record specifically identifies the former Kalaheo Elementary School site and includes testimony from the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands. However, the Council should require confirmation from DHHL regarding the intended land-use outcome, infrastructure capacity, compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods, and any potential opportunity for housing or community-serving use. Where Hawaiian land and former public-school property intersect, the public should receive a clear explanation of the proposed public benefit before final zoning decisions are made.

BALANCE OF COMMITTEE REPORTS

CR-244(26) – Tamashiro/Kimura Lawsuit Settlement

POSITION: REQUEST FISCAL/LEGAL JUSTIFICATION BEFORE APPROVAL

I cannot responsibly support or oppose the merits of this confidential settlement based solely on the public agenda because the agenda does not disclose the settlement amount, underlying claims, defense costs, insurance contribution, or litigation exposure. I therefore request that the Council require Corporation Counsel to document, to the maximum extent legally permissible, the expected litigation exposure, projected defense costs, insurance contribution, and financial rationale demonstrating why settlement is preferable to continued litigation.

CR-245(26) – Diann Taua et al. v. City and County / Officer Zane De Cambra

POSITION: REQUEST FISCAL/LEGAL JUSTIFICATION BEFORE APPROVAL

For the same reason, I request a documented fiscal and legal justification before approving this settlement. The Council should determine whether the proposed settlement is financially preferable to continued litigation and whether the underlying incident indicates a need for policy, training, supervision, or operational changes. Settlement should resolve the claim without preventing the City from correcting any systemic problem revealed by the litigation.

CR-246(26) – Global Towing Claims / All Island Wreckers

POSITION: REQUEST TRANSPARENCY AND INDEPENDENT REVIEW

I request that the Council scrutinize the proposed global settlement of towing claims associated with Contract MA-CSD-2300062 before approval. Because the agenda does not disclose the number of claims, total amount, individual claim values, alleged causes, or contract-performance issues, taxpayers need a clear explanation of the financial rationale. A global settlement may be efficient, but efficiency should not substitute for accountability or an audit of the underlying claims.

CR-254(26) / RESOLUTION 26-171 – 90-Day Extension for 1588 Ala Moana Development

POSITION: SUPPORT EXTENSION; DO NOT PREJUDGE THE PROJECT

I support granting the 90-day extension because a project of this scale deserves complete public review rather than rushed action. Resolution 26-171 concerns a 187,174-square-foot Ala Moana development, and the Council should have sufficient time to evaluate transportation, infrastructure, affordable housing, density, height, community benefits, and compatibility with the TOD framework. I support taking the time necessary to obtain a complete public record before deciding the underlying project.

NEW BUSINESS

BILL 48 (2026) – Condemnation Notice Requirements

POSITION: STRONG SUPPORT

I strongly support clearer notice requirements for proposed condemnation actions. Government's eminent-domain authority is powerful and should be accompanied by maximum practical transparency, notice, and an opportunity for affected property owners to understand and challenge the proposed

action. The Council should favor rules that ensure property owners receive meaningful notice before government action affects their property rights.

PUBLIC SAFETY & ECONOMY

RESOLUTION 26-186 – Honolulu Zoo Elephant-Habitat Plumbing and Drainage

POSITION: SUPPORT

I support accepting the \$46,043.21 gift for a new plumbing and drainage system for the elephant-habitat pools at the Honolulu Zoo. This is a tangible capital improvement that directly benefits animal care and facility operations while reducing the need for taxpayer-funded capital spending. The City should ensure the work meets all applicable engineering, environmental, animal-welfare, and procurement standards.

RESOLUTION 26-192 – Honolulu Fire Department Accelerant Detection Canine Team

POSITION: STRONG SUPPORT

I strongly support accepting the \$3,141.82 gift for the Honolulu Fire Department's Accelerant Detection Canine Team. Fire investigation capabilities can help identify the origin and cause of suspicious fires and support public safety and accountability. Because this is a modest, specifically directed gift for a public-safety function, it is an appropriate use of philanthropic support, provided normal ethics and procurement safeguards are maintained.

OVERALL REQUEST TO THE COUNCIL

The common theme throughout this agenda is accountability.

I support investments that protect water, wastewater, public safety, parks, cultural resources, transportation, and community infrastructure. I support housing and TOD when infrastructure and affordability keep pace with development. I oppose unnecessary reductions in housing capacity, unnecessary conversion of productive agricultural land, and irreversible disposition of public assets without adequate public justification.

Most importantly, I ask the Council to apply the same standard to every project:

1. What does it cost taxpayers?
2. What public benefit is created?
3. Who bears the risk?
4. Does infrastructure have the capacity to support it?
5. Does the proposal protect water, land, culture, and community?
6. Does it increase or decrease housing affordability?
7. Are the assumptions supported by actual data?
8. Is the public record sufficiently complete for the Council to make an informed decision?
9. Are there enforceable conditions rather than merely promises?
10. Will future generations consider this a responsible use of O'ahu's limited resources?

Hawai'i's housing shortage alone demonstrates why every land-use decision matters. The State's 2024 Housing Planning Study estimates Honolulu County needs approximately 25,710 additional units by the end of 2027. At the same time, O'ahu has finite water, agricultural land, public shoreline, parks, and infrastructure.

I therefore respectfully request that this testimony be entered into the official record and that the Council consider the positions and conditions stated above when taking each item.

Mahalo nui loa for your service to the people of O'ahu.

Respectfully,

Master Shelby "Pikachu" Billionaire, HRM

Kingdom of The Hawaiian Islands, H.I.
Billionaire Foundation
OHATrusteeBillionaire@gmail.com
Shelbybillionaire.com