

BILL036(23)
Testimony

MISC. COMM. 310

COUNCIL

COUNCIL Meeting

Meeting Date: Jun 7, 2023 @ 10:00 AM

Support: 0

Oppose: 0

I wish to comment: 1

Name: Jina Wang	Email: hinaleahuang@gmail.com	Zip: 96814
Representing: Self	Position: I wish to comment	Submitted: Jun 6, 2023 @ 04:24 PM
<p>Testimony:</p> <p>(a)(7)(8), I think asking contractor information in the beginning of application login is not necessary. Most homeowners won't engage to the contractor until the permit is approved to issue, cuz that will finalize the plan for bidding. From the begining of permit application to get a permit approved, it take one to two years. By the time permit approved to issue, both the owner and the contractor may change their pricing, owner meet better contractor, or contractor may have schedule conflict, too many possibility of change could happen in one to two years. Putting down contractor information in the beginning doesn't seem any reason.</p> <p>(b)(1), this seems to a non-sense section. An individual can owe fines to different City department, and there is no necessary reason that one owe civil fine and can't apply a building permit.</p> <p>(b)(2)(3)(4), these sections is over stretching the judiciary a Bill for building permitting. Whoever is being convicted of bribery will be penalized by our State Court and will serve his/her term in prison. Once he/she finish her term, he/she is considered a clear person and should have all his civil right same as other people. DPP should not double penalize or over penalize the individual.</p>		