

BILL051(22)
Testimony

MISC. COMM. 461

ZONING AND PLANNING

ZONING AND PLANNING Meeting

Meeting Date: Oct 20, 2022 @ 09:00 AM

Support: 3

Oppose: 0

I wish to comment: 0

Name: Parker Johnson	Email: parker.johnson@sunrun.com	Zip: 96744
Representing: Self	Position: Support	Submitted: Oct 19, 2022 @ 01:36 PM
<p>Testimony:</p> <p>Requiring a notary requirement will require unnecessary work for residents trying to get permitting. Having this replaced with a simple signature will be much easier for permittees to accomplish. E-plans residents have already been waiting months for permitting to come back to them so adding additional work will further escalate this delay.</p>		
Name: Jonathan Sanders	Email: jonathan4914@gmail.com	Zip: 96817
Representing: Sunrun Solar	Position: Support	Submitted: Oct 19, 2022 @ 01:38 PM
<p>Testimony:</p> <p>Passing this bill help us with E-plans submission to remove the requirement for a notary to be added and replace it with a signature.</p>		
Name: Jacob Roberts	Email: Jacobbroberts@gmail.com	Zip: 96826
Representing: Self	Position: Support	Submitted: Oct 19, 2022 @ 06:06 PM
<p>Testimony:</p> <p>As a solar professional I experience firsthand the frustrations experienced by customers waiting for their projects to get through permitting. Keeping on a tight timeline is critical to keeping customers happy, ensuring that their loans don't expire, and ensuring they can take advantage of tax credits in the year that they signed up.</p> <p>This year we've seen unprecedented delays in customers who have to go through ePlans to get their permits. Requiring that permits be notarized is an unnecessary step that further increases the permitting backlog. It should be enough to get the permit signed and avoid any unnecessary steps to get these projects moving through.</p>		