

Bill 34 Testimony

MISC. COM. 457

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Sunday, August 8, 2021 9:54 PM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Richard Ames
Phone
Email kamaainaaudio@gmail.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Council
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Support
Representing Organization Self

Written Testimony

Bill 34 is a big step forward in regaining our residential neighborhoods. For many years our communities have been treated as free game for the tourism industry. Encroachment into our neighborhoods is unacceptable. Tour companies and the internet has made the North Shore's highways, beaches and neighborhoods packed with tour buses that brings traffic to a halt. What used to be a 15 to 20 minute drive now take a hour or more. Someday you can drive from Haleiwa to Honolulu quicker than Haleiwa to Sunset Beach. Our infrastructure, roads, parking, sidewalks, parks etc, are stressed well passed there limit. To the members of the City Council please put your signature next to Councilwoman Heidi Tsuneyoshi's and show your respect and support for your district's residential neighborhoods and not unrestrained tourism. As they say.....it's time to fish or cut bait!

Testimony Attachment
Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 7:44 PM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Kathleen M. Pahinui
Phone
Email pahinuik001@hawaii.rr.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Council
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Support
Representing Organization Self

Aloha Council Chair Waters and Council Members -

I strongly support Bill 34 to help protect our North Shore beaches same as has been done on the Windward side of the island. In April 2017, the North Shore NB #27 supported a motion for our council member to implement regulations designed to ensure that tour buses, do not limit in any way, the public's right to access public parks. Such regulations will be similar to those recently enacted at Kailua and Waimanalo parks.

Written Testimony

We hear every month at our board meeting that the beaches are overrun with activity companies bringing tourists and preventing our residents from enjoying our beaches. In fact there have been incidents where the tour bus drivers essentially "kick" the residents out of the area stating they need the space for their guests. Our beaches are a public trust and access is protected for everyone. They should not be co-opted by commercial companies and residents be made to feel like interlopers.

Please support this bill and give our beaches back to our community.

Malama 'āina,

Kathleen M. Pahinui
Chair, North Shore Neighborhood Board #27

Testimony Attachment

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 8:42 PM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Angela Huntemer
Phone
Email ahuntemer@aol.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Council
Agenda Item 34-21
Your position on the matter Support
Representing Self
Organization

Written
Testimony

I am writing in strong support of this bill which will help alleviate the abuse of our parks by private entities. I live on the North Shore and daily see the impact of bus tours, surf lessons and dive tours. While I understand the need to make a living, our land and ocean is being destroyed by overuse. We are being overrun by tourists. The million people of Oahu should not be paying taxes for public facilities and services so they can be used and abused by a million visitors per month. Please take action to regulate this destructive overtourism. After a wonderful event at Laniakea on Sunday I saw three small tour buses parked illegally - by any standard - on the way home to Turtle Bay. One was in front of Pupukea fire station, one was on the side of the road and one was in the Pupukea Rec. Center parking lot (which is supposed to be closed but no one is closing the gate after the police hang out there.) I have pictures to send to the PUC. At Laniakea on Sunday I was there from 7am to noon. During that time I saw 24 small buses and 4 full size coaches go by. Fortunately they could not stop because we had filled the parking lot to give the honu a day off. The tourists who did park further down and came to the beach were greeted with aloha, given educational materials and a pledge to sign. Tour buses have a huge impact on our single road that is already suffering from heavy traffic, flooding and sea level rise. . Spare a thought for the beach erosion from foot traffic that has been occurring over the past decade in particular. Please help bring back the ability to drive on our neighborhood roads and visit the beaches without being swamped. We need observation platforms, reservation systems for non-residents with fees. Our corals and wildlife are being trampled and terrorized all day every day when the conditions are calm. It will take years for populations to recover from the recent

surge in human interference. Please, please help us, the flora and fauna of the North Shore Koolauloa area. Mahalo.

Testimony
Attachment
Accept Terms
and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 10:58 PM
Subject: Parks and Community Services Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Emiliaperry
Phone
Email Emiliadas@aol.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Parks and Community Services
Agenda Item 34
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Organization Self

Written Testimony

Why are you including business that have been operating and doing surf schools within in the permit guidelines??? We fought this bill right before COVID and now within seconds it's up again when will this badgering stop?
Now we have been paying the DLNR and just getting by and want to take our lively hood away again?
If you were going to be so cruel as to away take away small family businesses Then ban the all the surf contests .
we have a permit for these places to teach advanced surfing where you permit professional surf contests
How can we teach the next generation advanced skills if we are not permitted where surf contests are permitted this is un constitutional.

Testimony Attachment

Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 11:56 PM
Subject: Parks and Community Services Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Tamayo Perry
Phone
Email Oahusurfingexperience@aol.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Parks and Community Services
Agenda Item BILL034
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Self
Organization

DOUBLE STANDARDS

Written
Testimony

ALOHA HEIDI . This is Tamayo Perry with the Surf School Oahu Surfing Experience. Long time no talk. I see you are reintroducing the Bill that we congregated over and talked over, where many locally owned family businesses passionately explained their circumstances of needing their businesses that utilize beach parks to be allowed to keep operating to sustain their livelihood. I also noticed how this new proposed Bill 34 that it's not very new at all... In fact, you are using verbatim the very same exact terminology that was proposed in the Bill you tried to introduce 2 years ago. 2 years ago, You sat there with many local families and put on a good act that every thing was going to be ok & that you were extinguishing the Bill. Are the many new transient mainland transplant 2 year residents putting pressure on you to utterly destroy many local family business that have been operating for nearly 2 decades here on the North Shore? You do this right after the financial stresses that Covid has cost us North Shore residence. Eliminating Small, entrepreneurial businesses that have done nothing but create job opportunities for ourselves because the government is constantly eliminating what little jobs are available & this is your political answer. That's brilliant, eliminate more jobs from people that are & have been the backbone of this community, helping moving them one more step closer to homelessness. No exception huh? We teach safety in these waters.... so now no one is going to be educated how to handle this crazy north shore.

Then with that being said, to be fair to all, YOU MUST ELIMINATE

ALL AMATEUR & PROFESSIONAL CONTESTS IN IT'S ENTIRETY
FROM THE NORTH SHORE! If you eliminate small mom-n-pop
businesses from utilizing peach parks to access the waters in order to
keep their legitimate business open & running & you do not STOP BIG
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATE COMPETITION from OVER
CROWDING our beloved North Shore then this disgusting act of
malice would appear absolutely DISCRIMINATORY & completely
show that the government will pick favor over a international corporate
entity rather than helping to preserve small, LOCAL ENTREPRENEURS
THAT ARE THE TRUE CITIZENS OF HAWAII. Please, I beg you to
think long & hard about the devastating consequences your Bill will
cause on True LOCAL HAWAIIAN Citizens that need their business to
stay alive. We should never be grouped in the same category as Tour
Buses... That is extremely & completely unfair. Please reconsider this
devastating Bill!

Testimony
Attachment
Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 7:02 AM
Subject: Parks and Community Services Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Carol Philips
Phone
Email carolphilips1@gmail.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Parks and Community Services
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Organization Organization
North Shore Eco Ocean Association

Dear Honorable Tsuneyoshi,

Regarding Bill 34 (2021), I believe there is a potential for unintended consequences. The term "commercial activities" is overly broad, and as such, could inadvertently all organized water sports that use public beach parks on the North Shore to access the ocean, including The Triple Crown/Pipeline Masters, surf schools, scuba diving, paddleboard races and more.

Written Testimony It appears that this bill is targeting tour buses, buses not ocean activities. An amendment to SECTION 2. Section 10-1.2 subsection (h) " Commercial activities, including recreational stops by commercial tour companies, are not allowed at any time at Kailua Beach Park and Kalama Beach Park, except as otherwise provided in this chapter for commercial filming and water sports activities ",

This amendment will be helpful in preventing the complete shut down of the surfing industry on the North Shore, and the financial devastation of those who rely on teaching and guiding ocean activities as a way to feed their families. Please understated the very real economic impact of this bill on the people who live on the North Shore, and work in the surfing industry.

Legislation denying people, for any reason, access to the ocean on the North Shore, should also consider the following:

1. Haleiwa is Designated the Surfing Capital of the World per state legislation HCR161 2004

2. Surfing is designated as the official individual sport in the State of Hawaii since 1998
3. Surfing is an indigenous activity
4. Surfing and swimming are legacy sports
5. Surfing is now an Olympic sport

The North Shore has miles and miles of coastline with most of the beaches unused. There is room for all of us. Please amend this bill to allow for permitted ocean commercial activities, and work with us to come up with a win/win solution.

Respectfully,

Carol Philips
North Eco Ocean Association

Testimony
Attachment
Accept Terms
and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 7:21 AM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Chris Gardner
Phone
Email koloheboy@me.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Council
Committee
Agenda Item 034 (21)
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Organization
Organization North Shore Swim Series

I oppose this bill as it may affect the North Shore Swim Series to have races at Waimea Bay, Sunset Beach & Ehukai Beach Park.

Written Testimony Mahalo
Chris Gardner
North Shore Swim Series

Testimony Attachment

Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 8:14 AM
Subject: Parks and Community Services Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Nate Burgoyne
Phone
Email nateburgoyne@gmail.com
Meeting Date 10-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Parks and Community Services
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Self
Organization

Please do not pass Bill 34. Or, please provide an exclusion for water sports businesses.

1. Safety - The North Shore is know for its amazing ocean access. If water sport operations are banned, tourists will go to the ocean without instruction or structured guidance endangering themselves, others, and the environment. Surfers won't know where it's safe to surf, what proper etiquette is, and will not have help when they are in trouble. Snorkelers and scuba divers will not be educated on currents, safe/danger zones, conservation, protecting the coral reef and wildlife, etc.

Written Testimony 2. More Lifeguards in Water - Water sport instructors are certified lifeguards and regularly assist other in need that are not part of their own group.

3. Commerce & Jobs - The North Shore relies on tourism related to the ocean. Many families will be out of income and out of work, which will be especially difficult as they are all still struggling to recover in the wake of the pandemic.

Banning commercial water operations will endanger visitors that are ignorant about the dangers of the ocean. Accidents, fatalities and rescues will likely increase. It will compound the financial struggle of North Shore businesses and individuals, reducing jobs, both water sports related, restaurants, and retail.

Testimony Attachment

Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 9:28 AM
Subject: Parks and Community Services Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Heidi
Phone
Email info@rainbowwatersports.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Parks and Community Services
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Organization
Organization Rainbow Watersports

Written Testimony

Aloha, I am writing to request an exclusion to this bill to allow water sport commercial instruction. Activity in the waters on the North Shore is the main attraction to the North Shore for tourists and locals alike. Allowing commercial water sport instruction is for the safety of visitors to the beaches. It keeps everyone safe. Of course, those who are inexperienced will receive proper instruction and safety protocol, and those who are experienced are also safe from being hit by those who are not experienced. Water sport instruction should include surf lessons, stand up paddle lessons, kayak, snorkel, and scuba. As a business instructing water sports on the north shore for 13 years, I give my firm testimony that we are keeping people safe in the water. At times we have needed to rescue people who have chosen to enter the water without proper instruction. Allowing water sport commercial instruction also ensures more watchful certified lifeguards in and out of the water, since all permitted schools must have instructors who are certified lifeguards. Please do not make the dangerous and neglectful choice to disallow commercial water sport instruction at North Shore beaches.

Testimony Attachment

Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 9:28 AM
Subject: Parks and Community Services Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Heidi
Phone
Email info@rainbowwatersports.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Parks and Community Services
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Organization
Organization Rainbow Watersports

Written Testimony Aloha, I am writing to request an exclusion to this bill to allow water sport commercial instruction. Activity in the waters on the North Shore is the main attraction to the North Shore for tourists and locals alike. Allowing commercial water sport instruction is for the safety of visitors to the beaches. It keeps everyone safe. Of course, those who are inexperienced will receive proper instruction and safety protocol, and those who are experienced are also safe from being hit by those who are not experienced. Water sport instruction should include surf lessons, stand up paddle lessons, kayak, snorkel, and scuba. As a business instructing water sports on the north shore for 13 years, I give my firm testimony that we are keeping people safe in the water. At times we have needed to rescue people who have chosen to enter the water without proper instruction. Allowing water sport commercial instruction also ensures more watchful certified lifeguards in and out of the water, since all permitted schools must have instructors who are certified lifeguards. Please do not make the dangerous and neglectful choice to disallow commercial water sport instruction at North Shore beaches.

Testimony
Attachment
Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

Mural Installations in our Public Parks

The Outdoor Circle appreciates the intent and good work of the Department of Parks and Recreation in wanting to “activate” park spaces with visual mural displays. However, given that such installations are becoming more frequent, we see a need for the City and County of Honolulu to implement a consistent, well-defined process for selecting public mural displays.

The Outdoor Circle recognizes the immense value of Hawaii’s unique laws that protect our natural and scenic beauty and provide oversight of Hawaii’s visual landscape. These laws have been upheld by Federal Courts due to their neutrality and consistent application. Therefore, we urge caution not to undermine these laws and to carefully consider the placement and scope of murals in our public parks. Whether commissioned or gifted, such murals should be subject to the following:

- A. Acknowledging that parks are appreciated and need protection for their natural beauty, tranquility, trees and flora, openness, and recreation.
- B. Ensuring that mural installations do not conflict with existing signage or view plane laws.
- C. Incorporating a consultative process which will include individuals with knowledge and expertise the selection and placement in murals, as well as individuals concerned with development and appearance of parks.
- D. Allowing for adequate public input and advance notification of stakeholders.
- E. Acknowledging that murals on park facilities should have a stated purpose of enhancing cultural, historic, aesthetic or community pride; abating graffiti and visual blight; and enhancing the quality of experience for park users.
- F. Setting parameters for size, scale, duration, location and appropriateness of mural content.
- G. Providing for adequate mural maintenance.

We are aware that DPR does have a process in place for mural display approval. However, we would like to request that additional protocols be added to that process, with some examples illustrated below on why these are necessary in three recent mural proposals or installations.

Here is the current DPR process for mural presentation and acceptance, as presented to us by DPR, with our requests for additional protocols to be added, as noted in italics:

1. **Proposal submitted to the City** (can either come into MOCA or DPR). At minimum, this proposal should include the location of the mural, mural content (renderings), reason/inspiration for the mural, and the funding mechanism for the mural. This step includes the donor reaching out to the public for help drafting the content of the mural (brainstorming) or fundraising.

Mural projects should have a stated purpose of enhancing cultural, historic, aesthetic or community pride; abating graffiti and blight; and enhancing the quality of experience for park users.

Mural proposals should include provisions for adequate mural maintenance.

2. **Research** on any historic, jurisdictional, or other site-specific concerns with the proposed location (SHIPD, etc.).

Mural research should include consideration of any potential conflict with existing laws regarding outdoor signage or view plane protection.

3. **Presentation & approval of mural project to DPR staff & admin.**

Mural projects will be evaluated by DPR with respect to a) their size, scale, location, and appropriateness in the park setting, b) their individual and collective impact on Hawaii's scenic beauty, scenic vistas and urban view corridors, and c) their impact on the park's natural beauty, tranquility, trees and flora, openness and recreation.

Mural projects will be subject to review via a consultative process involving those with knowledge and experience in mural selection and placement, as well as those with knowledge and experience in park design and preservation.

Mural proposals presented to DPR will be subject to notification of potential stakeholders, including those organizations or individuals who have expressed an interest in being informed about such projects affecting our parks.

4. **Presentation & approval of mural project to MOCA Art Commission.**

Mural proposals will be subject to notification of stakeholders, including those organizations or individuals who have expressed an interest in being informed about such projects; stakeholders will have an opportunity for input at mural project presentations before MOCA.

If a mural project is presented to MOCA, without having gone through DPR, it will be subject to review via a consultative process involving those with knowledge and experience in mural selection and placement, as well as those with knowledge and experience in park design and preservation.

5. **Presentation & approval of mural project to the appropriate Neighborhood Boards.**

Mural proposals (including both initial and final mural design and site renderings) will be subject to approval by all Neighborhood Boards whose communities may be affected by the project to ensure that stakeholders and the community-at-large have an opportunity for comment on the project.

6. **City Council approval** is required through a Gift Declaration and Gift Resolution (must pass Council Committee and Full Council, both of which are public hearings).

7. **On-site meeting** with DPR staff, artists, and mural donor to determine logistics and timing of the mural's installation. At this time, the Public Art Agreement will be filled out and signed.

8. **Right of Entry** completed for artist(s) to access the park location.
9. **Blessing / media ceremony** of the completed mural project.

As background for our reasons for requesting the above additional protocols, we would like to refer to the following three recent or proposed mural installations in our parks, which illustrate some of the concerns that we address in items A – G above:

1. A first case in point is the recently proposed at Pu'u O Kaimuki Mini-Park, which involves the installation of murals on four sides of a prominent telecommunications building at this historic and scenic lookout.

Although it was recently approved by the Commission on Culture and the Arts, there are still several issues that should be addressed prior to approval of such art installations in the future, and this particular art installation, prior to its implementation:

- a) Before acceptance, a mural's installation should be subject to a high-degree of public scrutiny, including robust community notification, consultation and engagement regarding its proposed design and proposed placement, including multiple presentations at all Neighborhood Boards affected by such installation.
 - b) A proposed mural's siting and color pallet should be given scrutiny as to whether it would be in violation of scenic view plane protection statutes as defined in Hawaii's ROH Land Use Ordinance (Section 21-1.20) in which the LUO's purpose and intent include "Conserving the city's natural, historic and scenic resources and encouraging design that enhances the physical form of the city." This particular historic site, a scenic lookout in its own right, is also in a scenic view plane encompassing much of Honolulu and is visible from numerous surrounding higher elevations.
 - c) A mural's acceptance, as presented and approved, should be subject to further review and reconsideration should the particulars associated with that approval change, for example, if the site conditions as originally presented have been noticeably altered. This particular mural site, as presented for approval, included a well-established screen of trees surrounding it to protect the scenic view plane; days after approval, all the trees were removed, resulting in a highly exposed structure on which a brightly colored, highly visible mural will be placed. This is not consistent nor representative of the mural installation plan that was presented and approved.
2. A second case in point was the recently proposed mural at Ala Wai Community Park, which involved the installation of two large murals, including a variety of words and social messaging, on the sides of buildings facing two busy streets, McCully Street and Kapi'olani Boulevard, at one of the entrances to Waikiki.

Although it was withdrawn for consideration due to other factors, there are several issues that should be addressed prior to approval of such art installations in the future:

- a) When a large mural installation is used to convey a worded message to the public, it should receive particularly strict scrutiny as to whether it then become a “sign” as defined in Hawaii’s Outdoor Advertising Law, HRS Section 445-111(1), and is therefore limited by the size standards set out in Honolulu Sign Code (ROH Sec. 21-7.40).
 - b) This type of worded artwork should be given particular scrutiny as to whether it is inconsistent with the purpose and intent of Honolulu’s Sign Regulation ordinance (ROH Sec. 21-7.10). That ordinance states that it is “imperative that the public streets and highways be kept free from signs which distract motorists’ attention from driving,” “that the indiscriminate erection, location, illumination, coloring and size of outdoor signs constitute a significant contributing factor in increasing the number of traffic accidents,” and that “the indiscriminate erection and maintenance of large signs seriously detract from the enjoyment and pleasure of the natural scenic beauty of the city which, in turn, injuriously affect the tourist trade and thereby the economic well-being of the city.” There is concern that if murals are permissive for elements prohibited under Honolulu’s sign ordinance, it sets precedence for future murals and undermines sign ordinance enforcement.
 - c) This type of artwork should be given particular scrutiny as to whether it is inconsistent with current Hawaii statutes regarding the prohibition of social messaging signage, and whether such placement may weaken the City’s ability to enforce this prohibition in the future. In a *First Amendment* challenge to Hawaii statutes that allow for limiting signs used for social messaging, Hawaii’s statutes were upheld by the 9th Circuit Federal Appeals Court in 2006 (Center for Bioethical Reform Inc. vs. City and County of Honolulu, No. 04-17496). This legal precedent also helped protect Honolulu from mobile billboards with social messages as well.
3. A third case in point is the recent installation of a large mural at Old Stadium Park in Mo’ili’ili, which covers one entire edge of the park, including a two-story private structure’s face at one end.

Although it was recently installed, there are several issues that should be addressed prior to approval of such mural installations in the future:

- a) In order to preserve the tranquility and beauty of green space in our public parks, as a refuge from the busy urban environment, consideration should be given some limitation on the size, scale and number of mural installations in any given public park, as well as which existing park structures can be considered suitable for mural installation.
- b) In order to preserve our existing parks’ treed and green spaces, which for many of us serve as places for reflection on nature’s beauty, consideration should be given to some limitation or control on the number and location of public parks that might warrant such mural installations (not withstanding those in Special Design Districts).

We feel that adoption of the additional protocols for park mural acceptance in the way we are requesting would be helpful in addressing situations, such as the above, in a more comprehensive, coherent and rigorous process, while continuing to further public engagement in the enhancement of our parks.

Winston Welch
winston@outdoorcircle.org

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 9:36 AM
Subject: Parks and Community Services Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Shane Sochocki
Phone
Email shanesochocki@gmail.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Committee Parks and Community Services
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Organization Self

I feel that Bill 34 does not address the actual problem at hand. The problem is not commercial activities at county beach parks. The problem is the loose management of commercial operations.

Written Testimony

An outright ban on commercial activity at these named beaches will not solve this problem. Instead I urge you to work with the tour operators to find a compromise that would allow small business to continue to operate, employ local workers, and bring money to the area

For example, tour buses, surf schools, scuba diving, etc on the North Shore is not the problem. Because the tourists are going to come to the North Shore regardless. Instead we need a way to allow the tourist visitors to continue to come to the North Shore and spend money in a way that does not put unwanted stress on the community. Such as designated bus parking at Sunset Beach, Laniakea, etc.

Testimony Attachment
Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 10:22 AM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Nicole Nestel
Phone
Email nicole@nicolenestel.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Council
Committee
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Support
Representing Self
Organization

Written Testimony

I am writing to support Bill 34, there are many people who live on the North Shore who are being negatively impacted by overtourism and the unbelievable amount of traffic and usage of our local beaches. There is no room for the people who live here to go to Shark's Cove, Three Tables, Waimea Bay, Puaena's , Alii Beach, Laniakea Beach. Surf Lesson Companies both licensed and unlicensed have completely taken over Chun's and Pua'enas, often there are over 100 people at a time taking surf lessons, and 8-10 vans just for the surf companies, and Shark's Cove often has over 100 visitors on Snorkel tours and 8-10 scuba lessons with 10 people as well at the same time. There is no parking available, and traffic delays are often up over 500% on the busy times which now range from 9am-1pm - 7 days a week from Haleiwa towards Waimea, and from 2pm -6pm from Waimea towards Haleiwa.
Please ban commercial activities and tour bus stops just as has been done in Kailua and Waimanalo when they were overwhelmed

Testimony Attachment

Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 2:17 PM
Subject: Zoning and Planning Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Melia
Phone
Email milolii12@yahoo.com
Meeting Date 08-11-2021
Council/PH Zoning and Planning
Committee
Agenda Item Bill 34
Your position on the matter Support
Representing Self
Organization

Written Testimony Please stop allowing ALL commercial activity , tour busses and vans from stopping on the North Shore of Oahu. It will have a HUGE positive effect on traffic, degeneration of coral at Three Tables, Sharks Cove, turtle HARASSMENT at Laniakea and Pua'ena and also predatory surf lesson operators at Chun's, Pua'ena and Alii's. Thank you!

Testimony Attachment

Accept Terms and Agreement 1

IP: 192.168.200.67