

Bill 1 Testimony

MISC. COM. 195

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2021 6:35 PM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name Jeremy Shorenstein
Phone 808-489-5431
Email jeremy.shorenstein@gmail.com
Meeting Date 03-17-2021
Council/PH Committee Council
Agenda Item Bill 1, CD1
Your position on the matter Support
Representing Self
Organization

Aloha Honolulu City Council Members,

This letter is in support of Bill 1 as a catalyst to create more affordable housing for the people of Oahu.

The need for more affordable housing solutions in Honolulu has been known for many years, and the City Council's passing of Bill 7 (2019) and Bill 60 (2019) was essential in creating a new avenue to motivate long-time multi-generational landowners to redevelop their properties into new affordable housing stock.

Written
Testimony

There are over 1,000 units planned by landowners due to the Bill 7 (2019) program. However, due to the fact that most multi-generational landowners are not experienced real estate developers, the hurdle of being the "first-mover" of this new program, which includes the risk of misinterpreting the rules, unforeseen requirements, lack of experience of construction professionals in this type of building, and proven rental demand to name a few, has proven to be too high for most. By utilizing a financial incentive to mitigate the risks associated with being a "first-mover", landowners will take the risk to build this needed housing product, which will start the flywheel of construction industry experience turning and further accelerate the pace in which new low-rise affordable rental housing is built in Honolulu.

There is a dam blocking the flow of new affordable rental product to the people of Honolulu. Please vote in support of Bill 1 to break down this barrier and help create more affordable rental housing for the working class people of Oahu, the people who need it most.

Mahalo for your time.

Testimony

Attachment

Accept Terms
and Agreement ¹

IP: 192.168.200.67

March 14, 2021

Councilmember Tommy Waters
Chair, City Council
City and County of Honolulu
530 South King Street, Room 200
Honolulu, HI 96813

Re: Bill 1, CD 1 (2021)

Aloha Chair Waters and Members of the City Council:

My name is Mel Kaneshige, and I am submitting my testimony in general support of Bill 1 CD 1 (2021).

There is no one “silver bullet” to solve our affordable rental housing shortage; it’s a multi-faceted problem which requires a multi-faceted approach. The State and the City have each devoted many resources to providing more affordable rentals on Oahu because both acknowledge that building affordable rental units is not profitable for the private sector.

One of the approaches to get more affordable rentals built is contained in Ordinance 19-8 (Bill 7). Bill 7 affordable rental units will be (1) built in areas already zoned for multi-family dwelling and will not exacerbate the “monster homes” problem in single family residential areas, (2) will not contribute to urban sprawl but will be built on infill sites in primarily urban areas such as Moiliili, McCully, Makiki, Waikiki, and Kalihi, and (3) will piggy-back onto existing infrastructure and transportation and will not require expensive new infrastructure and transportation networks.

We currently have enormous challenges facing the City:

- Our unemployment has become the highest in the nation and our residents are at increased risk of finding and holding onto affordable housing because of the loss of jobs.
- Returns on Bill 7 projects were projected to be “skinny” when passed but represented a way out for generational owners needing to redevelop old, dilapidated buildings who could afford to hold onto these properties for a longer period of time.
- COVID-19 and its effect on construction has caused a pause in new developments such as Bill 7 projects that are dependent on small landowners and developers who are hesitant to move forward in times of additional risk. The skinny returns have been put at risk with the new uncertainties caused by COVID-19.

We also have an opportunity to meet those challenges:

- These new uncertainties could be alleviated with an incentive to build using \$9,000 per unit of public monies, with an overall cap of \$10 million. The incentive is needed to convince landowners and small developers who are reluctant to move forward with their Bill 7 projects because of the added risk caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, to go ahead with their projects.

Councilmember Tommy Waters
March 14, 2021
Page 2

- Bill 7 projects give the City “more bang for the City’s buck” since they are private sector driven projects that do not require government subsidies such as tax-exempt bond financing, tax credits, government land grants, and other government subsidies to be built.
- Government subsidized projects have a per unit cost of about \$450,000 while Bill 7 projects are estimated to have a per unit cost of about \$225,000 or one-half the cost.

If Bill 1, CD1 is passed, it will have an enormous impact:

- \$10 million in incentives over next 3-4 years will result in +1,100 new affordable rentals, having a construction cost of +\$200 million for ~30 Bill 7 projects.
- Construction will take 12-14 months per building and job sites have ~30 workers per day.
- Provide new jobs and specialized job training.

This Bill creates an incentive for the private sector to get involved in a more meaningful way to develop badly-needed affordable rentals in Honolulu at an average cost of \$225,000 rather than the publicly funded projects costing on average \$450,000 per unit.

Aloha,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mel Kaneshige'.

Mel Kaneshige

melkaneshige@gmail.com
(808) 223-9786

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 8:29 AM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name	Donald Huang
Phone	808-371-8389
Email	don@c7hawaii.com
Meeting Date	03-17-2021
Council/PH Committee	Council
Agenda Item	Bill 1
Your position on the matter	Support
Representing	Self
Organization	
Written Testimony	
Testimony Attachment	
Accept Terms and Agreement 1	

IP: 192.168.200.67



1136 12th Avenue, Suite 200 • Honolulu, HI 96816-3796 • TEL: 808.732.3000 • FAX: 808.732.8732 • www.hicentral.com

2021 Board of Directors

President

Shannon M. Heaven, R
AHWD, CRS, GRI, MRP, SFR

President-Elect

Chad M. Takesue, R

Secretary

Erin Cooper, R
CRS, e-PRO, MRP, SRES

Immediate Past President

Tricia Nekota, R
ABR, BPOB, CRS, MRP, SRES

Directors

Shannon P. Among, RA
SRS

Lorraine M. Fukumae, R
CRS, e-PRO, GRI, SRES

Fran Gendrano, R
AHWD, GRI

Barbie Y. Hee, R
AHWD, CIPS, e-PRO, GRI, SRES

Joshua Horita, R
AHWD, BPOB, SFR

Kevin K. Inn, RA
CRB, RENE, SFR

Duke Kimhan, R
ABR, AHWD, BPOB, CRB, CRS, GRI,
RENE

Derek C. Lau, R
CIPS, CRS, e-PRO, RSPS, SFR, SRES

Earl Lee, R

Laura Awana Lewis, R
CRS, MRP, SRES

Aaron Tangonan, RA
CRS

Fran Villarmia-Kahawai, R
CRB, CRS, GRI, MRP

Lael Wheeler, R
CRB

Chief Executive Officer
Suzanne Young



REALTOR® is a registered collective membership mark that may be used only by real estate professionals who are members of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® and subscribe to its strict Code of Ethics.

Testimony by Suzanne Young
Honolulu Board of Realtors®

In Support of Bill 1 (2021), CD1
Honolulu City Council
Wednesday, March 17, 2021
Honolulu Hale

Dear Chair Waters and Councilmembers:

I am Suzanne Young, Chief Executive Officer, testifying on behalf of the Honolulu Board of Realtors® ("HBR") and its over 6,000 members. HBR supports **Bill 1 (2021), CD1**, which would provide grants for the construction of affordable rental housing units.

The City and County of Honolulu is facing a major housing crisis. According to the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism's 2019 report on Housing Demand in Hawai'i, the City and County of Honolulu needs up to 21,392 housing units to meet the demand on O'ahu by 2030. Ultimately, we have a housing shortage, and require a multi-faceted approach to begin to solve our housing crisis and this measure is a good step in the right direction in addressing this challenge.

We believe that offering incentives for the development of housing is a great way to encourage developers to build much needed affordable housing. We appreciate that the CD1 will provide both increased incentives to units rented to households earning 60% or below of the AMI and incentives to units rented to households earning more than 60% but less than or equal to 100% of the AMI; housing in these areas are among the greatest need. We are supportive of creative ways that can help increase our housing supply to get residents into the homes they need.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit our testimony.

Mahalo,
Suzanne Young
Chief Executive Officer

Maila Gantous
808-735-0900
maila.gantous@hicentral.com

Councilmember Tommy Waters, Chair
Councilmember Esther Kia'aina, Vice Chair
Councilmember Andria Tupola, Floor Leader
Councilmember Radiant Cordero
Councilmember Brandon Elefante
Councilmember Carol Fukunaga
Councilmember Calvin Say
Councilmember Heidi Tsuneyoshi
Councilmember Augie Tulba
Committee on Housing and the Economy

Wednesday, March 17, 2021

Testimony by Ryan Tanaka in Strong Support of Bill 1, Relating to Incentivizing the Construction of Affordable Rental Housing

Dear Chair Waters, Vice Chair Kia'aina, and members of Honolulu City Council, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony in support of Bill 1.

I see the lack of affordable housing firsthand: my financial consulting company specializes in real estate and corporate finance, with clients that include employee-owned companies with diversified land and business holdings. And, I am personally vested in the issue as a born-and-raised local person who cares about his community and wants to alleviate the suffering caused by the lack of affordable housing. After attending bank presentations and learning about Bill 7 in May 2019, we led two of our clients to acquire two separate parcels suitable for Bill 7. We have completed the design process and submitted permit applications for both Bill 7 projects. Combined, these projects represent 57 affordable housing units. In addition, we are consulting as concierge developers for one, possibly two more Bill 7 projects. Our teams have dedicated time and resources to these projects because of the need for affordable housing, especially the < 100% AMI "gap group." These individuals and families will directly benefit from Bill 7 Projects to be built. I believe we need to do our part to help further Hawaii's independence and sustainability as a destination island economy for future generations, and to help our "gap group" become financially independent.

We prepared a one-page overview of Bill 1, which I have attached to the end of my testimony and am reproducing a portion here:

"A State study in 2018 found that 22,500 additional affordable rental units will be needed in the State of Hawaii by 2026 – 9,002 of which will be needed on Oahu. The State has declared that "although many reasons contribute to the lack of affordable rental housing units for low- and moderate-income households, the primary reason the poor rate of return for investments in affordable rental housing

projects ... simply put, affordable rental housing is unprofitable, so the market won't address the need by itself."

There is no one "silver bullet" to solve our affordable rental housing shortage; it's a multi-faceted problem which requires a multi-faceted approach. The State and the City have each devoted resources to providing more affordable rentals on Oahu because both acknowledge that building affordable rental units is not profitable for the private sector."

Achieving the original Bill 7 objective of 500 new affordable housing units per year over the next five years will take a community-minded approach. Bill 7 is still in "proof of concept," and owners/investors continue to evaluate its viability. For example, the intended effect of numerous Bill 7 concessions was to reduce the overall cost of Bill 7 projects. In reality, landowners of Bill 7 suitable properties have listed their properties for sale and baked in a 20-30% premium. The sellers and their realtors justify this premium because of the concessions (City and DPP) that Bill 7 claims to offer – regardless of whether a Bill 7 project is done or not after the purchase of the property and regardless of whether these concessions actually occur.

There are a number of other risks associated with Bill 7 projects. The Bill 7 Risk Chart in Table 1 below was prepared by Bill 7 stakeholders as an illustration for the Council to see the various challenges owners/investors face. Couple this with new uncertainty around a 15-year rental cap period.

Table 1: Bill 7 Risk Chart

Bill 7 Case Study: 5,000 SF parcel valued at \$1 million = Five story, 10,000 SF, 25-unit building.

Stage	S Actual		Spirit of Bill 7	Market Conditions
Land	+\$100,000	+\$300,000	City/DPP offered numerous concessions	Sellers baking concessions into sale price and charging a 10-30% Premium
Feasibility Risk	\$200,000	\$600,000	Hire qualified developers, architects, engineers	High upfront cost with no guaranty of permit
Permitting	3 months	6+ months	90-day maximum	Uniqueness of each project presents many unforeseeable permitting issues
Construction	+\$50 psf	+100 psf	\$225 psf target due to City/DPP concessions	Already paid premium at land purchase. Places pressure on negotiations between owner and general contractor
Rentability	+\$5,000/unit	+\$10,000/unit	Building w/limited amenities, parking	Renters will likely expect A/C, W/D, full kitchen/bath, thoughtfully selected finishes and fixtures.
Maintenance	+\$500/unit	+\$1,000/unit	80% of renters at 100% AMI or less	Higher turnover expenses for reduced pool of renters.

Significant downside risk for Bill 7 Projects (\$500,000 - \$1,000,000).

Bill 7 already requires owners to rent to households with incomes below 100% AMI. This provision is a built-in rental cap because income-constrained renters can only afford to pay a limited amount of rent. This is a “forever” restriction that lasts as long as the building(s) are standing. The business rationale is/was that these will be utilitarian, working class buildings and units limited in size, parking, and amenities so will not command much in rent – i.e., they will be affordable. Add the dense neighborhoods that they will likely be located in, the market will not allow rents in Bill 7 buildings to be higher than HUD guidelines.

As you can see from Table 2 below, Bill 7 projected rental rates are ~40% under 2019 HUD rental caps. Because the HUD mandated rental caps are much higher than what is being advertised in the market, the rental cap provision is unlikely to affect actual rents that are charged.

Table 2: Bill 7 Projected Rents vs. 2019 HUD Rental Caps

	<u>BILL 7 RENT*</u>	<u>2019 HUD **</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Studio	\$1,200/mo.	\$2,110/mo.	(-43%)
1BR	\$1,400/mo.	\$2,260/mo.	(-38%)
<i>* Projected rents do not include utilities</i>			
<i>** 2019 HUD maximum rents (rental cap)</i>			

This is a pilot program that lasts only 5 years – with nearly two years already gone and only two building permits issued. Part of the reason is the longer than 90-day permitting process and overall mounting costs and uncertainty associated with Bill 7 Projects, which is why I believe an additional financial incentive to complete these buildings will help add to the supply of affordable housing in Honolulu County.

We are facing a housing crisis, and the City has a growing need to create new affordable housing. Bill 7 is an important and necessary step (one of many that are needed) to create new affordable housing solutions in an effort to retain our workforce. Bill 1 is equally important and necessary because of the completion bonus incentivizing the construction of affordable rental housing.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony and for all of your efforts to serve the people of Honolulu County as our elected leaders.

Sincerely,

Ryan Tanaka
 President
 Island Business Management, LLC
ryan@ibmhawaii.com

**OVERVIEW OF BILL 1 – CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU
FEBRUARY 9, 2021**

Summary

A State study in 2018¹ found that 22,500 additional affordable rental units will be needed in the State of Hawaii by 2026 – 9,002 of which will be needed on Oahu. The State has declared that “although many reasons contribute to the lack of affordable rental housing units for low- and moderate-income households, the primary reason the poor rate of return for investments in affordable rental housing projects ... simply put, affordable rental housing is unprofitable, so the market won’t address the need by itself.”

There is no one “silver bullet” to solve our affordable rental housing shortage; it’s a multi-faceted problem which requires a multi-faceted approach. The State and the City have each devoted resources to providing more affordable rentals on Oahu because both acknowledge that building affordable rental units is not profitable for the private sector. One of the approaches to get more affordable rentals built is contained in Ordinance 19-8 (Bill 7). Bill 7 affordable rental units will be (1) built in areas already zoned for multi-family dwelling and will not exacerbate the “monster homes” problem in single family residential areas, (2) will not contribute to urban sprawl but will be built on infill sites in primarily urban areas such as Moili’ili, McCully, Makiki, Waikiki, and Kalihi, and (3) will piggy-back on existing infrastructure and transportation and will not require expensive new infrastructure and transportation networks.

The Problem

- Our unemployment has become the highest in the nation and our residents are at increased risk of finding and holding onto affordable housing because of the loss of jobs.
- Returns on Bill 7 projects were projected to be “skinny” when passed but represented a way out for generational owners needing to redevelop old, dilapidated buildings who could afford to hold onto these properties for a longer period of time.
- COVID-19 and its effect on construction has caused a pause in new developments such as Bill 7 projects that are dependent on small landowners and developers who are hesitant to move forward in times of additional risk. The skinny returns have been put at risk with the new uncertainties caused by COVID-19.

¹ Ten Year Affordable Rental Housing Report and Ten-Year Plan, DBEDT 2018

- Honolulu is on a Homeless Scenario unless it gets a new supply of low wage rental housing on a constant annual basis.

The Opportunity

- These new uncertainties could be alleviated with an incentive to build using \$9,000 per unit of public monies, with an overall cap of \$10 million. The incentive is needed to convince landowners and small developers who are reluctant to move forward with their Bill 7 projects because of the added risk caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, to go ahead with their projects.
- Bill 7 projects give the City “more bang for the City’s buck” since they are private sector driven projects that do not require government subsidies such as tax-exempt bond financing, tax credits, government land grants, and other government subsidies to be built.
- Government subsidized projects have a per unit cost of about \$450,000 while Bill 7 projects are estimated to have a per unit cost of about \$225,000 or one-half the cost.

Estimated Impact

- \$10 million in incentives over next 3-4 years will result in +1,100 new affordable rentals, having a construction cost of +\$200 million for ~30 Bill 7 projects.
- Construction will take 12-14 months per building and job sites have ~30 workers per day.
- Provide new jobs and specialized job training.

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 8:12 AM
Subject: Council Testimony

Written Testimony

Name	Derek Lock
Phone	8082212043
Email	dlock@hnldev.com
Meeting Date	03-17-2021
Council/PH Committee	Council
Agenda Item	Bill 1 CD1
Your position on the matter	Support
Representing	Self
Organization	
Written Testimony	
Testimony Attachment	
Accept Terms and Agreement 1	

IP: 192.168.200.67